arXiv:math/0301158v1 [math.AG] 15 Jan 2003

RANK STABLE INSTANTONS OVER POSITIVE DEFINITE FOUR MANIFOLDS

JOÃO PAULO SANTOS

Abstract. We study the moduli space of rank stable based instantons over a connected sum of q copies of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. For $c_2 = 1$ we give the homotopy type of the moduli space. For $c_2 = 2$ we compute the cohomology of the moduli space.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study moduli spaces of based $SU(r)$ instantons over a four manifold X in the limit when $r \to \infty$. Interest in this rank stable limit goes back to the work of 't Hooft [tH74]. The homotopy type of this space was computed in [Kir94], [San95] for $X = S^4$, and in [BS97] for $X = \mathbb{CP}^2$. We have

$$(1) \qquad \mathfrak{M}_k^\infty(S^4) \simeq BU(k) \ , \ \mathfrak{M}_k^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^2) \simeq BU(k) \times BU(k)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_k$ denotes the moduli space of charge k instantons. The proofs are based on monad descriptions of the moduli spaces over S^4 and $\mathbb{CP}^2$ (see [AHDM78], [Don84], [Buc86], [Kin89]).

In this paper we study the case where X is a connected sum of q copies of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In [Buc93], [Mat00], it was shown that this moduli space is isomorphic as a real analytic space to the moduli space of holomorphic bundles on a blow up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at q points, framed at a line $L_\infty \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. Under this correspondence instantons over S^4 are related to holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and instantons on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ are related to holomorphic bundles on the blowup of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at one point.

The results on this paper can be found in [San02b]. For a different approach see [KM99], [Buc02] where monad descriptions for these moduli spaces were introduced.

1.1. **Results.** Fix a line $L_\infty \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_q \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus L_\infty$. Let X_q denote the complex surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at $x_1, \ldots, x_q$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q)$ be the moduli space of equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a holomorphic rank r bundle over X_q with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = k$, holomorphically trivial at L_∞, and $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_{L_\infty} \to \mathcal{O}^r_{L_\infty}$ is a holomorphic trivialization.

For a general complex surface X the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X)$ was defined in [Leh93], [HL95], [Lüb93].

When $r_2 > r_1$, there is a map $\mathfrak{M}^{r_1}_k(X_q) \to \mathfrak{M}^{r_2}_k(X_q)$ induced by taking direct sum with a trivial bundle: $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{r_2 - r_1}_{X_q}$. We define the rank stable moduli space as the direct limit $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_q) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \varinjlim_r \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$. In this paper we study the special cases $k = 1, 2$. For $k = 1$ we obtain the homotopy type of the rank stable moduli space:

Theorem 1.1. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathfrak{M}^\infty_1(X_q) \simeq BU(1) \times \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{q} BU(1) \right)$$

Together with the results of [Buc93] and [Mat00], this shows that for a large class of metrics conjecture 1.1 in [BS97] is false.

For $k = 2$ we obtain the module structure of the integer cohomology of the rank stable moduli space:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $K_C \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \cong H^*\left(BU(1)^{\times 4} \right)$ *be the ideal generated by the product* $x_1 x_2$ *and let* $K_A \subset \mathbb{Z}[a_1, k_1, a_2, k_2] \simeq H^*\left(BU(2)^{\times 2} \right)$ *be the ideal generated by* k_1, k_2*. Then, as graded modules over* $\mathbb{Z}$*, we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*\left(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q) \right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2] \oplus K_A^{\oplus q} \oplus K_C^{\oplus \frac{q(q-1)}{2}}$$

Our strategy is to analyze the effect of the blowup on the topology of the moduli space. This way we can relate the moduli over X_q to the moduli over X_1 and X_0, whose topology is known (equation (1)). The plan of this paper is as follows:

In section 2 we show how the study of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ can be reduced to the case where $q \leq k$. In section 3 we recall the monad constructions of the moduli spaces for $q = 0, 1$. In section 4 we prove theorem 1.1. Sections 5, 6 and 7 contain the proof of theorem 1.2. The proof is based on the construction of an open cover of the moduli space, which is carried out in section 5 and studied in detail in sections 5 and 6. In section 7 we use the spectral sequence associated with the open cover (see [Seg68]) to prove theorem 1.2.

2. An open cover of $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$

In this section we reduce the study of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ to the case where $q \leq k$.

Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ be a multi-index and write $|I|$ for the number of indices. Let $\pi_I : X_q \to X_{|I|}$ be the blow up at points x_j, $j \notin I$. π_I induces a map

$$\pi_I^* : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \to \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \tag{2}$$

The objective of this section is to prove

Theorem 2.1. $\left\{ \pi_I^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \right\}_{|I|=k}$ *is an open cover of* $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$. *Furthermore*

$$\pi_I^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \cap \pi_J^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|J|}) = \pi_{I\cap J}^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I\cap J|})$$

and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_I^*", "\cong"'] & \pi_I^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\pi_{I*}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

From this open cover we can build a spectral sequence converging to $H^*(\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q))$. The case $k = 2$ will be treated in section 7. For the general case see [San02b], section 4.3.

We turn now to the proof of theorem 2.1. We begin by proving the last statement:

Proposition 2.2. *We have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_I^*", "\cong"'] & \pi_I^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\pi_{I*}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where π_I^* *and* π_{I*} *are inverses of each other. We also have*

$$\pi_I^* \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{|I|}) = \{ \mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \,|\, \mathcal{E}|_{L_i} \text{ is trivial for } i \notin I \}$$

Proof. From theorem 3.2 in [Gas97] it follows that, if a bundle is trivial on the exceptional divisor then it is also trivial on a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor. Hence, a bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \tilde{X}$ on a blow up $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is trivial on the exceptional divisor if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi^* \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The statement of the proposition follows. $\square$

Proof of theorem 2.1. From proposition 2.2 it follows that

$$\pi_I^*\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_{|I|}) \cap \pi_J^*\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_{|J|}) = \pi_{I\cap J}^*\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_{|I\cap J|})$$

To show that $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q) \subset \bigcup_{|I|=k} \pi_I^*\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_k)$ we only need to show that:

Claim: Let $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q)$, $q > k$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial in at least $q-k$ exceptional lines.

We prove this result by induction in q. Assume $\mathcal{E}$ is not trivial in L_1. Let $p : X_q \to X_{q-1}$ be the blow up at x_1 and let $\mathcal{E}' = (\pi_*\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}$. Then $c_2(\mathcal{E}') < k$ so we can apply induction. The proof is completed by noting that we cannot have bundles with negative c_2 by Bogomolov inequality for framed bundles (see [Leh93]).

Finally we have to show that $\pi_I^*\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_{|I|})$ is open. Let H be an ample divisor. Choose N such that $H^i(\mathcal{E}(NH)) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_k(\tilde{X})$. Then choose M such that $\pi_*\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)$ is locally free. Consider the function

$$h^1 = \dim H^1(\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)) : \mathfrak{M}_k(\tilde{X}) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

Then, from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}(NH) \to \mathcal{E}(NH+ML) \to \mathcal{T} \to 0$$

($\mathcal{T}$ has support contained in L) we get

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)) = H^2(\mathcal{T}) = 0$$

Now notice that

$$H^0(\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)) \cong H^0(\pi_*\mathcal{E}(NH+ML))$$

and, since by assumption $\pi_*\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)$ is locally free and π_*H is ample, for N large enough we get

$$H^i(\pi_*\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0$$

Hence, we get that

$$h^1 = \chi(\pi_*\mathcal{E}(NH+ML)) - \chi(\mathcal{E}(NH+ML))$$

From Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that

$$h^1 = c_2(\mathcal{E}) - c_2(\pi_*\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}) + f(N, M, c_1(X))$$

where f does not depend on $\mathcal{E}$. The result then follows from the upper-semicontinuity of h^1 (see [Har77], chapter III, theorem 12.8). □

3. Monads

In this section we sketch the monad description of the spaces $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$. We follow [Kin89]. See also [BS00].

Let $L_\infty \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a rational curve and let L be the exceptional divisor. Choose sections x_1, x_2, x_3 spanning $H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty))$ and y_1, y_2 spanning $H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty - L))$ so that x_3 vanishes on L_∞ and $x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$ spans the kernel of

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty - L)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}(2L_\infty - L))$$

3.1. The moduli space over $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Let W be a k-dimensional vector space. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the space of 4-tuples $m = (a_1, a_2, b, c)$ with $a_i \in \mathrm{End}(W)$, $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, W)$, $c \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, \mathbb{C}^r)$, obeying the integrability condition $[a_1, a_2] + bc = 0$. For each $m = (a_1, a_2, b, c) \in \mathcal{R}$ we define maps A_m, B_m

$$W(-L_\infty) \xrightarrow{A_m} W^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{B_m} W(L_\infty)$$

by

$$A_m = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - a_1x_3 \\ x_2 - a_2x_3 \\ cx_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_m = \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 + a_2x_3 & x_1 - a_1x_3 & bx_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $B_mA_m = 0$. The assignement $m \mapsto \mathcal{E}_m = \mathrm{Ker}\, B_m / \mathrm{Im}\, A_m$ induces a map $f : \mathcal{R} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2)}$.

m is called non degenerate if A_m, B_m have maximal rank at every point in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Theorem 3.1. *f induces an isomorphism betwen the quotient of the space of non degenerate points in $\mathcal{R}$ by the action of $Gl(W)$:*

$$g \cdot (a_1, a_2, b, c) = (g^{-1}a_1g, g^{-1}a_2g, g^{-1}b, cg)$$

and the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2)$.

For a proof see [Don84], proposition 1.

Theorem 3.2. *The algebraic quotient $\mathcal{R}/Gl(W)$ is isomorphic to the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completion of the moduli space of instantons over S^4.*

For a proof see [DK90], sections 3.3, 3.4, 3.4.4.

For future reference we sketch here how the map from $\mathcal{R}/Gl(W)$ to the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completion of the moduli space of instantons is constructed (see [Kin89] for details):

Let $m = (a_1, a_2, b, c) \in \mathcal{R}$. A subspace $W' \subset W$ is called b-special with respect to m if

$$a_i(W') \subset W' \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} b \subset W'. \tag{3}$$

A subspace $W' \subset W$ is called c-special with respect to m if

$$a_i(W') \subset W' \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } W' \subset \operatorname{Ker} c. \tag{4}$$

m is called completely reducible if for every $W' \subset W$ which is b-special or c-special, there is a complement $W'' \subset W$ which is c-special or b-special respectively.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $m = (a_1, a_2, b, c) \in \mathcal{R}$.*

1. *m is non degenerate if and only if the only b-special subspace is W and the only c-special subspace is 0;*
2. *For every m, the orbit of m under $Gl(W)$ contains in its closure a canonical completely reducible orbit and completely reducible orbits have disjoint closures;*
3. *If m is completely reducible then, after acting with some $g \in Gl(W)$ we can write*

$$a_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i^{red} & 0 \\ 0 & a_i^{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b^{red} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c^{red} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $(a_1^{red}, a_2^{red}, b^{red}, c^{red})$ is non-degenerate and the matrices $a_1^{\Delta}, a_2^{\Delta}$ can be simultaneously diagonalized. Such a configuration is equivalent to the following data:
   - *An irreducible integrable configuration $(a_1^{red}, a_2^{red}, b^{red}, c^{red})$ corresponding to a bundle with $c_2 = l \leq k$;*
   - *$k - l$ points in $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus L_\infty$ given by the eigenvalue pairs of $a_1^{\Delta}, a_2^{\Delta}$*

   This is precisely the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completion.

3.2. **The moduli space over $\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2$.**

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ be the space of 5-tuples $\tilde{m} = (a_1, a_2, d, b, c)$ where $a_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, V)$, $d \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$, $b \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, V)$, $c \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathbb{C}^r)$, such that $a_1(W) + a_2(W) + b(\mathbb{C}^r) = V$, obeying the integrability condition $a_1 d a_2 - a_2 d a_1 + bc = 0$. For each

$\tilde{m} = (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ we define maps $A_{\tilde{m}}, B_{\tilde{m}}$

$$W(-L_\infty) \oplus V(L - L_\infty) \xrightarrow{A_{\tilde{m}}} (V \oplus W)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{B_{\tilde{m}}} \\ \to V(L_\infty) \oplus W(L_\infty - L)$$

by

$$A_{\tilde{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1x_3 & -y_2 \\ x_1 - da_1x_3 & 0 \\ a_2x_3 & y_1 \\ x_2 - da_2x_3 & 0 \\ cx_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{\tilde{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & a_2x_3 & -x_1 & -a_1x_3 & bx_3 \\ dy_1 & y_1 & dy_2 & y_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $B_{\tilde{m}}A_{\tilde{m}} = 0$. The assignement $\tilde{m} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{m}} = \operatorname{Ker} B_{\tilde{m}}/\operatorname{Im} A_{\tilde{m}}$ induces a map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}^r_k(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2)}$.

A point $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is called non-degenerate if $A_{\tilde{m}}$ and $B_{\tilde{m}}$ have maximal rank at every point in $\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2$.

Theorem 3.4. *The map $\tilde{f}$ induces an isomorphism betwen the quotient of the space of non degenerate points in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ by the action of $Gl(V) \times Gl(W)$:*

$$(g_0, g_1) \cdot (a_1, a_2, b, c, d) = (g_0^{-1}a_1g_1, g_0^{-1}a_2g_1, g_0^{-1}b, cg_1, g_1^{-1}dg_0)$$

and the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$.

See [Kin89] for a proof.

Consider the algebraic quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}/Gl(V) \times Gl(W)$. This space is a completion of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$. We proceed to give an interpretation of the points in this completion in terms of the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completion. See [Kin89] for details.

Let $\tilde{m} = (a_1, a_2, d, b, c)$. Let $V' \subset V$ and $W' \subset W$ and assume $\dim V' = \dim W'$. The pair (V', W') is called b-special with respect to $\tilde{m}$ if

$$a_i(W') \subset V' \ (i = 1, 2), \ d(V') \subset W' \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} b \subset V' \tag{5}$$

The pair (V', W') is called c-special with respect to $\tilde{m}$ if

$$a_i(W') \subset V' \ (i = 1, 2), \ d(V') \subset W' \text{ and } W' \subset \operatorname{Ker} c \tag{6}$$

$\tilde{m}$ is called completely reducible if for every pair (V', W') which is either b-special or c-special, there are complements V'', W'' to V' and W' such that the pair (V'', W'') is c-special or b-special respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\tilde{m} = (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) \in \tilde{R}$.*

(1) *$\tilde{m}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the only b-special pair is (V, W) and the only c-special pair is $(0, 0)$;*
(2) *For every $\tilde{m}$, the orbit of $\tilde{m}$ under $Gl(V) \times Gl(W)$ contains in its closure a canonical completely reducible orbit and completely reducible orbits have disjoint closures;*
(3) *If $\tilde{m}$ is completely reducible then, after acting with some $(g_0, g_1) \in Gl(V) \times Gl(W)$, we can write*

$$a_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i^{red} & 0 \\ 0 & a_i^{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \ , \ d = \begin{bmatrix} d^{red} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} b = \begin{bmatrix} b^{red} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c^{red} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $(a_1^{red}, a_2^{red}, d^{red}, b^{red}, c^{red})$ is non-degenerate effective and integrable and the matrices $a_1^{\Delta}, a_2^{\Delta}, d^{\Delta}$ can be simultaneously diagonalized. Such a configuration is equivalent to the following data:
    - *An irreducible configuration $(a_1^{red}, a_2^{red}, d, b^{red}, c^{red})$ associated to a bundle with $c_2 = l \leq k$;*
    - *$k - l$ points in the blow up $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin. This points are determined as follows: $a_1^{\Delta}, a_2^{\Delta}, d^{\Delta}$ determine $k-l$ unique points $(\lambda_1^r, \lambda_2^r), [\mu_1^r, \mu_2^r] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2}$ corresponding to vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-l}$ such that $da_i v^r = \lambda_i^r v^r$ (λ_1, λ_2 are the eigenvalue pairs of da_1, da_2) and $(\mu_1^r a_1 + \mu_2^r a_2)v^r = 0$.*

3.3. **Direct image.** In this section we gather some results concerning the direct image map π_* induced by the blowup map $\pi : \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\pi_\# : \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathcal{R}$ be given by $\pi_\#(a_1, a_2, d, b, c) = (da_1, da_2, db, c)$. Let $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, $m = \pi_\# \tilde{m}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{m}}|_{\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \setminus L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_m|_{\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus [0,0,1]}$.*

For the proof see [San02a], proposition 5.6.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $S_0\mathfrak{M}_1^r(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = \{(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in \mathfrak{M}_1^r(\mathbb{CP}^2) : (\pi_*\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^2}^r\}$. Then*

(1) *$m \in S_0\mathfrak{M}_1^r(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ if and only if m is of the form $(a_1, a_2, 0, b, c)$.*
(2) *The inclusion $S_0\mathfrak{M}_1 \to \mathfrak{M}_1$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. First we observe that $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2) \cup \pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2)$. Now $m \in \pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ if and only if d is an isomorphism (see [Kin89]). The first statement follows. The second statement follows easily from the first: just consider the homotopy $(a_1, a_2, d, b, c) \mapsto (a_1, a_2, td, b, c)$. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $x = [x_1, x_2, 1] \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ *and let* $\pi_x : \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ *be the blow up at* x*. Then the map* $\pi_x^* : \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \to \mathfrak{M}_k(\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$ *is given by*

$$[a_1, a_2, b, c] \mapsto [a_1 - x_1\mathbb{1}, a_2 - x_2\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}, b, c]$$

Proof. For $x = [0, 0, 1]$ see [BS00]. For the general case consider the translation $[w_1, w_2, w_3] \mapsto [w_1 - x_1 w_3, w_2 - x_2 w_3, w_3]$. This induces a map $\tau : \mathfrak{M}_k(X_0) \to \mathfrak{M}_k(X_0)$ given by

$$[a_1, a_2, b, c] \mapsto [a_1 - x_1\mathbb{1}, a_2 - x_2\mathbb{1}, b, c]$$

The result follows. □

4. The charge one moduli space

The objective of this section is to prove theorem 1.1:

Theorem 4.1. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_q) \simeq BU(1) \times \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{q} BU(1) \right)$$

From theorem 2.1 it follows that

$$\mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_q) = \bigcup_{l=1}^{q} \pi_l^* \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_1)$$

and, for any $i \neq j$,

$$\pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_1) \cap \pi_j^* \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_1) = \pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_0)$$

We begin by studying the maps $\pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \to \pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\iota_1 : \mathbb{CP}^r \to \mathbb{CP}^r \times \mathbb{CP}^r$ *be the inclusion into the first factor:* $\iota_1([u]) = ([u], *)$*, where* $*$ *denotes the base point. Then there are homotopy equivalences* $h_0 : \mathbb{CP}^\infty \to \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0)$ *and* $h_1 : \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty \to \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1)$ *such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0) \arrow[r] & \pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1) \\
\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0) \arrow[u, "\pi_\emptyset^*", "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1) \arrow[u, "\pi_i^*", "\cong"'] \\
\mathbb{CP}^\infty \arrow[u, "h_0", "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "\iota_1"] & \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty \arrow[u, "h_1", "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

is homotopy commutative.

Proof. We will use the monad descritpion of $\mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_1), \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_0)$. We define define the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_0 : \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_0) \to \mathbb{CP}^r && p_0 : [a_1, a_2, b, c] \to [b] \\
&p_1 : \mathfrak{M}_1^r(X_1) \to \mathbb{CP}^r \times \mathbb{CP}^r && p_1 : [a_1, a_2, d, b, c] \to \left([b], \left[\frac{\bar{c}^t}{\|c\|^2}\right]\right) \\
&\Delta : \mathbb{CP}^r \to \mathbb{CP}^r \times \mathbb{CP}^r && \Delta : [u] \to ([u], [u]) \\
&f : \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty \to \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty && f : (x, y) \mapsto (x, xy^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

where to define f we observe that $\mathbb{CP}^\infty = BU(1)$ is homotopic to the free abelian group on $U(1)$. Now observe that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0) \arrow[r] & \pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1) \\
\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0) \arrow[u, "\pi_\emptyset^*", "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] \arrow[d, "p_0"] & \mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1) \arrow[u, "\pi_i^*", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "p_1"] \\
\mathbb{CP}^\infty \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[dr, "\iota_1"] & \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty \arrow[d, "f"] \\
 & \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty
\end{tikzcd}$$

is homotopy commutative and the maps $p_0, p_1, f, \pi_\emptyset^*, \pi_i^*$ are homotopy equivalences. The statement of the lemma then follows by writing $h_0 = p_0^{-1}$ and $h_1 = p_1^{-1} f^{-1}$, where $p_0^{-1}, p_1^{-1}, f^{-1}$ are the homotopy inverses. ☐

We are ready to prove theorem 4.1.

Proof. Let C be the cone on q points $v_1, \ldots, v_q$. Let

$$M = \frac{\left(\coprod_{i=1}^{q} BU(1) \times BU(1) \times \{v_i\}\right) \coprod \left(BU(1) \times C \right)}{\left([u]\, ,\, v_i \right) \sim \left(\iota_1([u])\, ,\, v_i \right)}$$

(1) First we show that M is homotopically equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_1(X_q)$. Denote the points in C by

$$[t, v_i] \in C = \frac{[0,1] \times \coprod_i \{v_i\}}{(0, v_i) \sim (0, v_j) \sim *}$$

Define a map

$$\zeta : \left(\coprod_{i=1}^{q} BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_i\}\right)\coprod BU(1)\times C\to\mathfrak{M}_1(X_q)$$

as follows:

$$BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_i\}\ni([u_1],[u_2],v_i)\mapsto\pi_i^*h_1([u_1],[u_2])$$
$$BU(1)\times C\ni([u],[t,v_i])\mapsto\pi_\emptyset^*h_0([u])\text{ for }t<\frac{1}{3}$$
$$BU(1)\times C\ni([u],[t,v_i])\mapsto\pi_i^*h_1\iota_1([u])\text{ for }t>\frac{2}{3}$$

For $\frac{1}{3}\le t\le\frac{2}{3}$ use the homotopy between $\pi_\emptyset^*h_0$ and $p_i^*h_1\iota_1$ from lemma 4.2.

ζ descends to the quotient to give a map $\zeta : M\to\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_q)$. We want to apply Whitehead theorem to show ζ is a homotopy equivalence. The van Kampen theorem implies both M and $\mathfrak{M}_1(X_q)$ are simply connected hence we only have to show ζ is an isomorphism in homology groups. We prove it by induction in $q'=1,\ldots,q$.

We apply the five lemma to the Meyer-Vietoris long exact sequence corresponding to open neighborhoods of the sets

$$\pi_{q'+1}^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1),\ \pi_{(1,\ldots,q')}^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_{q'})\subset\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_q)$$
$$BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_i\},\ \bigcup_{l=1}^{q'}BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_l\}\subset M$$

using the fact that the restrictions

$$\zeta : BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_i\}\to\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_1)$$
$$\zeta : BU(1)\times C\to\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_0)$$

are homotopy equivalences. It follows that ζ induces isomorphisms in all homology groups.

(2) To conclude the proof we only have to show that M is homotopically equivalent to

$$BU(1)\times\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{q}BU(1)\right)=\frac{\coprod_{l=1}^{q}BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_l\}}{(x,*,v_i)\sim(x,*,v_j)}$$

where $*\in BU(1)$ is the base point. Define an open cover of $BU(1)\times(\bigvee_i BU(1))$ by $U_i=BU(1)\times BU(1)\times\{v_i\}$. Then the claim is a special case of proposition 4.1 in [Seg68]. The result can also pe proved as in step 1.

$\square$

5. An open cover of $\mathfrak{M}_2^\infty(X_2)$

The objective of this section is to describe an open cover of $\mathfrak{M}_2^\infty(X_2)$. We will adopt, in this section and the next, the following notation: Denote the blow up points by $x_L, x_R \in X_0$. Let $\pi : X_2 \to X_0$ be the blow up map at x_L, x_R. By abuse of notation we will denote by π_L the maps $X_2 \to X_1$ and $X_1 \to X_0$ corresponding to the blow up at x_L and in the same way π_R will denote the blow up at x_R. We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X_2 \arrow[dl, "\pi_L"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_R"] & \\
X_{1R} \arrow[dr, "\pi_R"'] & & X_{1L} \arrow[dl, "\pi_L"] \\
 & X_0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

of blow up maps where $X_{1L} \cong X_{1R} \cong X_1$. Denote by L_L and L_R the exceptional divisors above x_L and x_R respectively. Again, by abuse of notation we identify $L_L \subset X_2$ with $L_L \subset X_{1L}$ and the same for L_R.

Write $x_L = [x_{1L}, x_{2L}, 1]$, $x_R = [x_{1R}, x_{2R}, 1]$, $x_L, x_R \in X_0 = \mathbb{CP}^2$. Since $x_L \neq x_R$ we may assume without loss of generality that $x_{1L} \neq x_{1R}$.

Let $z_i = x_{iR} - x_{iL}$. z_1, z_2 determine a point $([z_1, z_2, 1], [z_1, z_2]) \in X_1 \setminus L_\infty = \widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^2} \setminus L_\infty \subset \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$.

We are ready to state the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 5.1. *Let*

$$A_L = \pi_R^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L}) = \{\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \,:\, \mathcal{E}|_{L_R} \text{ is trivial}\}$$
$$A_R = \pi_L^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1R}) = \{\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \,:\, \mathcal{E}|_{L_L} \text{ is trivial}\}$$

and let $C = \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \setminus (A_L \cup A_R)$.

Let $N_L \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L})$ *be the set of non-degenerate configurations* $m = (a_1, a_2, d, b, c)$ *such that the eigenvalues of* da_1 *(equal to the eigenvalues of* $a_1 d$*) are in a* δ *neighborhood of* $0, z_1$*. In a similar way define* $N_R \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1R})$*. Let* $N_2 = \pi_R^* N_L \cup \pi_L^* N_R \cup C$*.*

Then $\{A_L, A_R, N_2\}$ *is an open cover of* $\mathfrak{M}_2^\infty(X_2)$*. There are homotopy equivalences*

1. $A_L \simeq A_R \simeq BU(2) \times BU(2)$
2. $C \simeq BU(1) \times BU(1) \times BU(1) \times BU(1)$
3. $A_L \cap A_R \simeq BU(2)$
4. $A_L \cap N_2 \simeq N_L \simeq A_R \cap N_2 \simeq N_R \simeq BU(1) \times BU(1) \times BU(1)$
5. $A_L \cap A_R \cap N_2 \simeq BU(1) \times BU(1)$
6. $N_2 \simeq C$

From this open cover we get, in a standard way (see [Seg68]), a spectral sequence:

Corollary 5.2. *There is a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of* $\mathfrak{M}_2^r(X_2)$ *with* E_1 *term*

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{0,n} &= H^n(A_L) \oplus H^n(A_R) \oplus H^n(N_2) \\ E_1^{1,n} &= H^n(A_L \cap A_R) \oplus H^n(A_L \cap N_2) \oplus H^n(A_R \cap N_2) \\ E_1^{2,n} &= H^n(A_L \cap A_R \cap N_2) \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we will study the d_1 differential of this spectral sequence.

We turn now to the proof of theorem 5.1. We will delay the proof that N_2 is open and begin by proving the homotopy equivalences (1), (2) and (3):

Proposition 5.3. A_L, A_R *are open sets,*

$$C = \{[\mathcal{E}, \phi] \in \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \,:\, c_2\left(\,(\pi_{i*}\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}\,\right) = 1\,,\, i = L, R\}$$

and the following maps are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_R^* : \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L}) \to A_L \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \\ &\pi_L^* : \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1R}) \to A_R \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \\ &\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee} \times \pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee} : C \to S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L}) \times S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1R}) \\ &\pi^* : \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \to A_L \cap A_R \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_{i*}^{\vee\vee}(\mathcal{E}) \overset{def}{=} (\pi_{i*}\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}$*.*

Proof. The isomorphisms for $A_L, A_R, A_L \cap A_R$ follows from theorem 2.1. That theorem also implies A_L, A_R are open.

It remains to look at the map $\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee} \times \pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee} : C \to S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L}) \times S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1R})$. The continuity of this map was proved in proposition 3.1 in [San02a].

We will construct an inverse for $\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee} \times \pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}$. Let $(\mathcal{E}_L, \phi_L) \in S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L})$, $(\mathcal{E}_R, \phi_R) \in S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1R})$. Hartog's theorem implies there are unique extensions of ϕ_L, ϕ_R to maps

$$\phi_L : \mathcal{E}_L|_{X_0\setminus\{x_L\}} \to \mathcal{O}^r_{X_0\setminus\{x_L\}} \ , \ \phi_R : \mathcal{E}_R|_{X_0\setminus\{x_R\}} \to \mathcal{O}^r_{X_0\setminus\{x_R\}}$$

These maps induce an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_L \cong \mathcal{E}_R$ over $X_0 \setminus \{x_L, x_R\}$ which we use to glue $\mathcal{E}_L, \mathcal{E}_R$ and obtain a bundle $\mathcal{E} \to X_2$. The continuity of this map was proved in proposition 3.3 in [San02a]. This concludes the proof. ☐

We observe the following identity:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\tau : \mathfrak{M}_k(X_0) \to \mathfrak{M}_k(X_0)$ be defined by*

$$\tau(a_1, a_2, b, c) = (a_1 - x_{1L}\mathbb{1}, a_2 - x_{2L}\mathbb{1}, b, c)$$

Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0)$. Then $\pi_L^*(m_1 \boxplus_0 m_2) = \pi_L^* m_1 \boxplus_L \tau(m_2)$.

Proof. It follows easily from proposition 3.8. ☐

Before we continue we need the lemma

Lemma 5.5. *Let $m \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)}$ and let (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) be the configuration associated to m. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{E}_m$ is in the image of $\pi_{R*} : C \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)}$;*
2. *$cdb = 0$ and the eigenvalues of da_i (equal to the ones of $a_i d$) are 0 and z_i;*
3. *After a change of basis we can write*

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1' & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2' & \frac{b'c''}{z_1} \\ -\frac{b''c'}{z_1} & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & c'' \end{bmatrix}$$

with $c''b'' = 0$.

Proof. We will show that $1 \Rightarrow 2$, $2 \Rightarrow 3$ and $3 \Rightarrow 1$.

$(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Suppose $\mathcal{E}_m = \pi_{R*}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in C$. Then, by proposition 5.3, $\mathcal{E}_m^{\vee\vee} \in S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L})$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is not locally free at the blow up point x_R. So, from proposition 3.7, $\mathcal{E}^{\vee\vee}$ corresponds to a configuration of the form $[a_1', a_2', 0, b', c']$.

Since m is degenerate, by proposition 3.5 after a change of basis it can be written in one of two forms, corresponding to the two types of special pairs:

1 (b-special):

$$a_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i' & * \\ 0 & a_i'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ d = \begin{bmatrix} d' & * \\ 0 & d'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & c'' \end{bmatrix}$$

in which case the configuration is equivalent to the completely reducible configuration (see proposition 3.5)

$$(a_1', a_2', d', b', c') \oplus (a_1'', a_2'', d'', 0, c'')$$

corresponding to an ideal bundle with singularity at $(a_1''d'', a_2''d'')$ and charge one bundle given by (a_1', a_2', d', b', c'). So we should have $d' = 0$ and $a_i''d'' = z_i$.

2 (c-special):

$$a_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i' & 0 \\ * & a_i'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ d = \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 \\ * & d'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in which case the configuration is equivalent to the completely reducible configuration

$$(a_1', a_2', d', b', c') \oplus (a_1'', a_2'', d'', b'', 0)$$

corresponding to an ideal bundle with singularity at $(a_1''d'', a_2''d'')$ and charge one bundle given by (a_1', a_2', d', b', c'). So we should have $d' = 0$ and $a_i''d'' = z_i$.

In both cases the eigenvalues of da_i are $0, z_i$ and $cdb = 0$.

($2 \Rightarrow 3$) Now assume the configuration (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) satisfies 2. Fix a basis of eigenvectors $v_0, v_1 \in V$ of $a_1 d$ and $w_0, w_1 \in W$ of da_1 with v_0, w_0 corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. Normalize v_1, w_1 so that $dv_1 = w_1$. Then

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1' & 0 \\ 0 & a_1'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2' & \frac{b'c''}{a_1'' - d'a_1'} \\ \frac{b''c'}{d'a_1' - a_1''} & a_2'' \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & c'' \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

From $cdb = 0$ we get $(b'c'')(b''c') = 0$. If $b'c'' = 0$ then a_2 is lower triangular. If $b''c' = 0$ then a_2 is upper triangular. In both cases the diagonal entries of $a_2 d$ are its eigenvalues. Hence, the condition about the eigenvalues of $a_1 d$ and $a_2 d$ yields the equations

$$a_1'd' = a_2'd' = 0 \ , \ a_1'' = z_1 \ , \ a_2'' = z_2$$

Since $a_1(W) + a_2(W) + b(\mathbb{C}^r) = V$ we must have $d' = 0$.

(3 ⇒ 1) Let $m = [a_1, a_2, d, b, c]$ be a configuration satisfying 3. $c''b'' = 0$ implies either $c'' = 0$ or $b'' = 0$. It follows that the pair $(\mathrm{Span}\{(0,1)\}, \mathrm{Span}\{(0,1)\})$ is a special pair hence the configuration is degenerate. Now, from proposition 3.5 it follows that m is equivalent to the completely reducible configuration

$$m' \oplus m'' = (a'_1, a'_2, 0, b', c') \oplus (z_1, z_2, 0, 0)$$

Notice that $(a'_1, a'_2, 0, b', c') \in S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L})$. Then, from proposition 5.3, there is $\tilde{m} \in C$ such that $\pi_{R*}\tilde{m}^{\vee\vee} = m'$. Then, from the characterization of points in the completion it follows that $\pi_{R*}\tilde{m} = m$.

□

The homotopy equivalences (4) and (5) are a direct consequence of the proposition

Proposition 5.6. *Let*

$$N_z = \{\, (a_{1z}, a_{2z}, b_z, c_z) \in \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \,|\, |a_{1z} - z| < \delta \,\}$$
$$N' = \{\, (a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c') \in \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \,|\, |d'a'_1| < \delta \,\}$$

Let $N_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)$ be the subset of points (a_1, a_2, b, c) with the eigenvalues of a_1 lying in δ neighborhoods of x_L and x_R. Consider the maps $\boxplus_0 : N_{x_{1L}} \times N_{x_{1R}} \to N_0$ defined by

$$[a_{1L}, a_{2L}, b_L, c_L] \boxplus_0 [a_{1R}, a_{2R}, b_R, c_R] = [a_1, a_2, b, c]$$

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1L} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{1R} \end{bmatrix} \;,\; a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{2L} & \frac{b_L c_R}{a_{1R} - a_{1L}} \\ \frac{b_R c_L}{a_{1L} - a_{1R}} & a_{2R} \end{bmatrix} \;,\; b = \begin{bmatrix} b_L \\ b_R \end{bmatrix} \;,\; c = \begin{bmatrix} c_L & c_R \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\boxplus_L : N' \times N_{z_1} \to N_L$ defined by

$$[a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c'] \boxplus_L [a''_1, a''_2, b'', c''] = [a_1, a_2, d, b, c]$$

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a''_1 \end{bmatrix} \;,\; a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a'_2 & \frac{b'c''}{a''_1 - d'a'_1} \\ \frac{b''c'}{d'a'_1 - a''_1} & a''_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \;,\; b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \;,\; c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & c'' \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

1. *The maps $\boxplus_0, \boxplus_L$ are homeomorphisms;*
2. *The inclusions $N_z \to \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0)$, $N' \to \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)$ are homotopy equivalences;*
3. $\pi_R^* N_L \cap \pi_L^* N_R = \pi_\emptyset^* N_0$.

Proof. Statement (2) is clear from the definition. To prove statement (3) we observe that

$$\pi_R^* N_L \cap \pi_L^* N_R = \pi_R^* N_L \cap \pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \cong N_L \cap \pi_L^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)$$

The result now follows easily from proposition 3.8. We turn to the proof of statement (1). It is an easy consequence of proposition 3.5 that $\boxplus_0$ and $\boxplus_L$ preserve the nondegeneracy of the configurations so the maps are well defined.

Now we look at $\boxplus_L$. For δ small enough the eigenvalues of $a_1 d$ are distinct. Hence we can choose, up to the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 4}$, eigenvector basis $\{v_0, v_1\} \subset V$ of $a_1 d$ and $\{w_0, w_1\} \subset W$ of da_1, where v_0, w_0 correspond to the eigenvalues near 0. Normalize v_1, w_1 so that $dv_1 = w_1$. Then the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 4}$ is reduced to an action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 3}$. We can thus write (see also equation (7))

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1' & 0 \\ 0 & a_1'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2' & \frac{b'c''}{a_1'' - d'a_1'} \\ \frac{b''c'}{d'a_1' - a_1''} & a_2'' \end{bmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} d' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ b = \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \ , \ c = \begin{bmatrix} c' & c'' \end{bmatrix}$$

The group $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times 3}$ acts transitively on equivalence classes of such configurations written in the above canonical form. This shows the existence of an inverse, hence $\boxplus_L$ is a homeomorphism. The proof for $\boxplus_0$ is similar. □

The maps $\boxplus_0, \boxplus_L$ extend to the closure $\bar{N}', \bar{N}_z$ of N', N_z. The following proposition is a direct consequence of proposition 3.5:

Proposition 5.7.

- *Let* $m_L = [a_{1L}, a_{2L}, b_L, c_L] \in \bar{N}_{x_{1L}}$, $m_R = [a_{1R}, a_{2R}, b_R, c_R] \in \bar{N}_{x_{1R}}$. *Then the following are equivalent:*
  1. $m_L \boxplus_0 m_R$ *is degenerate;*
  2. *Either* m_L *or* m_R *is degenerate.*
  3. *At least one of the 4 vectors* b_L, b_R, c_L, c_R *is zero.*
- *Let* $m' = [a_1', a_2', d', b', c'] \in \bar{N}'$, $m'' = [a_1'', a_2'', b'', c''] \in \bar{N}_{z_1}$. *The following are equivalent:*
  1. $m' \boxplus_L m''$ *is degenerate;*
  2. *Either* m' *or* m'' *is degenerate;*
  3. *One of the 4 vectors* b', b'', c', c'' *is zero.*

We are ready to prove

Proposition 5.8. *N_2 is an open neighborhood of C.*

Proof. From lemma 5.5 it follows immediately that $\pi_{R*}C \subset \bar{N}_L$.

Suppose there is a sequence $y_n \in \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L})$ such that $y_n \to y \in \pi_{R*}C$. Write $y_n = [a_{1n}, a_{2n}, d_n, b_n, c_n]$. Then, by property 2 in lemma 5.5 the eigenvalues of $d_n a_{in}$ converge to $0, z_i$. Hence, for n large enough $y_n \in N_L$. Hence $N_L \cup \pi_{R*}C$ is an open neighborhood of $\pi_{R*}C$.

Suppose there is a sequence $x_n \to x \in C$ such that $x_n \notin N_2$. Hence $x_n \notin C$ so, by passing to a subsequence we may assume without loss of generality that $x_n \in \pi_R^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L})$. Let $y_n = \pi_{R*}x_n \in \mathfrak{M}_2(X_{1L})$ and write $y_n = [a_{1n}, a_{2n}, d_n, b_n, c_n]$. Then $y_n \to y = \pi_{R*}x$ by continuity of π_{R*}, and $y_n \notin N_L$. But by property 2 in lemma 5.5 the eigenvalues of $d_n a_{in}$ converge to $0, z_i$ which implies, for n large enough, that $y_n \in N_L$. □

Finaly we prove the homotopy equivalence (6):

Proposition 5.9. *The inclusion $C \to N_2$ is a strong deformation retract.*

Proof. We will construct a homotopy $H_2 : N_2 \times [0,1] \to N_2$ betwen the identity and a retraction $N_2 \to C$. Let $H_{x_1,x_2} : \bar{N}_z \times [0,1] \to \bar{N}_z$ be defined by

$$H_{x_1,x_2}(a_1, a_2, b, c, t) = \big(t^2 a_1 + (1-t^2)x_1, t^2 a_2 + (1-t^2)x_2, tb, tc\big)$$

and let $H_1 : \bar{N}' \times [0,1] \to \bar{N}'$ be defined by

$$H_1(a_1', a_2', d', b', c', t) = (a_1', a_2', t^2 d', b', c')$$

Then we defined $H_L : \bar{N}_L \times [0,1] \to \bar{N}_L$ by

$$H_L(m' \boxplus_L m'', t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} H_1(m', t) \boxplus_L H_{z_1,z_2}(m'', t)$$

We define H_2 as the unique solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{R*}H_2(x,t) &= H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) \\ \pi_{L*}H_2(x,t) &= H_R(\pi_{L*}x, t) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We have to show existence and uniqueness of solution. Then we will show that H_2 defines a homotopy between the identity on N_2 and a retraction $N_2 \to C$.

We define the auxiliary map $H_0 : \bar{N}_0 \times [0,1] \to \bar{N}_0$ by

$$H_0(m_L \boxplus_0 m_R, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} H_{x_{1L},x_{2L}}(m_L, t) \boxplus_0 H_{x_{1R},x_{2R}}(m_R, t)$$

To prove existence and uniqueness of solution of the system (11) we consider two cases:

(1) Assume that either $t = 0$ or $x \in C$. Then we claim that $H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) \in \pi_{R*}C$, $H_R(\pi_{L*}x, t) \in \pi_{L*}C$. If $t = 0$ this follows from directly from lemma 5.5. If $x \in C$ then, from lemma 5.5 we can write

$$\pi_{R*}x = x' \boxplus_L x'' = (a_1', a_2', 0, b', c') \boxplus_L (a_1'', a_2'', b'', c'')$$

with $c''b'' = 0$. It then follows from the definition of H_L that $H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) = \pi_{R*}x$ for all t. In the same way we see that $H_R(\pi_{L*}x, t) = \pi_{L*}x$. This proves the claim. Then, existence and uniqueness follows from proposition 5.3.

(2) Assume $t \neq 1$ and $x \notin C$. Then we may assume $\pi_{R*}x \in N_L$. Then, since $H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) \in N_L$, we get from (11)

$$\pi_{R*}H_2(x, t) = H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) \Rightarrow H_2(x, t) = \pi_R^* H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t)$$

This proves uniqueness. To prove existence we need to show that

$$\pi_{L*}H_2(x, t) = \pi_{L*}\pi_R^* H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) = H_R(\pi_{L*}x, t)$$

It is enough to show this for the case where $x = \pi_L^* \pi_R^* y$ for some $y \in N_0$ since the set of points of this form is dense and $H_L, H_R, \pi_{L*}, \pi_R^*, \pi_{R*}$ are continuous.

It is an easy computation to show that $H_L(\pi_L^* y, t) = \pi_L^* H_0(y, t)$, $H_R(\pi_R^* y, t) = \pi_R^* H_0(y, t)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{L*}\pi_R^* H_L(\pi_{R*}x, t) = \pi_{L*}\pi_R^*\pi_L^* H_0(y, t) = \\ = \pi_R^* H_0(y, t) = H_R(\pi_{L*}x, t)\end{aligned}$$

Now we need to show H_2 is the desired homotopy. Direct inspection shows $H_2(x, 1) = x$. We saw in (1) above that, for $x \in C$, $H_2(x, t) = x$ and $H_2(x, 0) \in C$. The continuity of H_2 follows from the continuity of $\pi_{L*}, \pi_{R*}, H_L, H_R$. □

6. The differential d_1

The objective of this section is to obtain the homotopy type of the inclusion maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & A_0 \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & A_R \\
N_L \arrow[u] \arrow[dr] & N_0 \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & N_R \arrow[u] \arrow[dl] \\
& N_2 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A_0 = A_L \cap A_R = \pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)$. Since these spaces are classifying spaces it is enough to study the pullback under these maps of the tautological bundles. Together with the open cover of the previous section this will give a description of the homotopy type of $\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)$. It will also allow us to compute the d_1 differential in the spectral sequence introduced in corolary 5.2.

Lemma 6.1. *Let*

$$\tilde{F}_0(k,r) = \frac{\big\{(u,v) : u, v : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^r\,,\ u, v \text{ are injective}\big\}}{(u,v) \sim (u(\bar{g}^t)^{-1}, vg)\,,\ g \in Gl(k,\mathbb{C})}$$

$$\tilde{F}_1(k,r) = \frac{\big\{(u,v) : u, v : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^r\,,\ u, v \text{ are injective}\big\}}{(u,v) \sim (u(\bar{g}_u^t)^{-1}, vg_v)\,,\ g_u, g_v \in Gl(k,\mathbb{C})}$$

and define $F_0(k,r) \subset \tilde{F}_0(k,r)$ and $F_1(k,r) \subset \tilde{F}_1(k,r)$ by

$$F_0(k,r) = \Big\{ \big[\bar{b}^t, c\big] \in \tilde{F}_0(k,r)\,,\ bc = 0 \Big\}$$

$$F_1(k,r) = \Big\{ \big[\bar{b}^t, c\big] \in \tilde{F}_1(k,r)\,,\ bc = 0 \Big\}$$

Let $j_0 : F_0(k,r) \to \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_0)$, $j_1 : F_1(k,r) \to \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_1)$ be the inclusion maps given by $\big[\bar{b}^t, c\big] \mapsto [b,c]$. Then we have the homotopy commutative diagram

(12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_0) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_1) \\
Gr(k,\mathbb{C}^r) & F_0(k,r) \arrow[l, "p_0"] \arrow[u, "j_0"] \arrow[r, "pr"] \arrow[d, "\imath_0"] & F_1(k,r) \arrow[u, "j_1"] \arrow[d, "\imath_1"] \\
& \tilde{F}_0(k,r) \arrow[ul, "\tilde{p}_0"] \arrow[r, "\widetilde{pr}"] & \tilde{F}_1(k,r)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p_0 *is the projection* $[b,c] \mapsto [c]$. *Moreover, in the rank stable limit, the maps* $\imath_0, \imath_1, p_0, \tilde{p}_0, \jmath_0, \jmath_1$ *are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps:

Step 1. $p_0, \tilde{p}_0$ are fibrations with fibers $M(k, r-k)$ and $M(k,r)$ respectively where $M(k,r) = \frac{U(r)}{U(k)}$ is the space of injective maps from $\mathbb{C}^k$ to $\mathbb{C}^r$ which is contractible in the stable range. That proves $p_0, \tilde{p}_0$ are homotopy equivalences. It imediatelly follows that $\imath_0$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Step 2. Now we look at $\imath_1$. Consider the projection $p_1 : F_1(k,r) \to Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r)$ given by $[b,c] \mapsto [c]$. When $r \to \infty$, the spectral sequence associated with the fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^{r-k}) \arrow[r, "i"] & F_1(k,r) \arrow[d, "p_1"] \\
 & Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r)
\end{tikzcd}$$

collapses since all homology is in even dimensions. It easilly follows that $\imath_1$ is an isomorphism in all homology groups, hence an homotopy equivalence.

Step 3. Finally we need to prove the statements about $\jmath_0, \jmath_1$. Let $C^r_k(X_0)$, $C^r_k(X_1)$ be the spaces of configurations corresponding to the monads for X_0 and X_1. Let $C^F_0(k,r) \subset C^r_k(X_0)$ and $C^F_1(k,r) \subset C^r_k(X_1)$ be the subsets of configurations of the form $(0,0,b,c)$ and $(0,0,0,b,c)$ respectively. Then we have the maps between fibrations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Gl(k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & C^F_0(k,r) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F_0(k,r) \arrow[d, "\jmath_0"] \\
Gl(k) \arrow[r] & C^r_k(X_0) \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and a similar diagram for X_1. In the rank stable limit the spaces $C^F_0(k,r)$ and $C^r_k(X_0)$ are contractible (see [San95], [BS97]) so, by the five lemma $\jmath_0$ is an isomorphism in π_* hence an homotopy equivalence. The proof for $\jmath_1$ is the same.

□

We are ready to state and prove the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 6.2. *Consider the compositions*

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_{\emptyset *}} \mathfrak{M}_2^\infty(X_0) \xrightarrow{\jmath_0^{-1}} F_0(2,\infty) \xrightarrow{p_0} Gr(2,\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{13}$$

$$A_L \xrightarrow{\pi_{R *}} \mathfrak{M}_2^\infty(X_{1L}) \xrightarrow{\jmath_1^{-1}} F_1(2,\infty) \xrightarrow{\imath_1} \tilde{F}_1(2,\infty) \tag{14}$$

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{\boxplus_0^{-1}} N_{x_{1L}} \times N_{x_{1R}} \xrightarrow{p_L} N_{x_{1L}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \xrightarrow{p_0 \jmath_0^{-1}} Gr(1,\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{15}$$

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{\boxplus_0^{-1}} N_{x_{1L}} \times N_{x_{1R}} \xrightarrow{p_R} N_{x_{1R}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \xrightarrow{p_0 \jmath_0^{-1}} Gr(1,\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{16}$$

$$N_L \xrightarrow{\boxplus_L^{-1}} N' \times N_{z_1} \xrightarrow{p''} N_{z_1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \xrightarrow{p_0 \jmath_0^{-1}} Gr(1,\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{17}$$

$$N_L \xrightarrow{\boxplus_L^{-1}} N' \times N_{z_1} \xrightarrow{p'} N' \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \xrightarrow{\imath_1 \jmath_1^{-1}} \tilde{F}_1(1,\infty) \tag{18}$$

$$N_2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} C \xrightarrow{\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}} S_0\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_{1L}) \rightarrowtail \mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1L}) \xrightarrow{\imath_1 \jmath_1^{-1}} \tilde{F}_1(1,\infty) \tag{19}$$

$$N_2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} C \xrightarrow{\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee}} S_0\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty(X_{1R}) \rightarrowtail \mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1R}) \xrightarrow{\imath_1 \jmath_1^{-1}} \tilde{F}_1(1,\infty) \tag{20}$$

Let E, L be the tautological bundles over $Gr(2,\infty)$ and $Gr(1,\infty)$ respectivelly. Then we define the following bundles:

- *$E_0 \to A_0$ is the pullback of E under the composition 13.*
- *$L_{0L,0} \to N_0$ is the pullback of L under 15*
- *$L_{0R,0} \to N_0$ is the pullback of L under 16*
- *$L_{0R,L} \to N_L$ is the pullback of L under 17*

Now let $\tilde{E}_u, \tilde{E}_v \to \tilde{F}_1(2,r)$ be the tautological bundles corresponding to u, v and let $\tilde{L}_u, \tilde{L}_v$ be the tautological line bundles over $\tilde{F}_1(1,\infty)$. We define

- *$E_{bL}, E_{cL} \to A_L$ are the pullback of $\tilde{E}_u, \tilde{E}_v$ under 14.*
- *$L_{bL,L}, L_{cL,L} \to N_L$ are the pullback of $\tilde{L}_u, \tilde{L}_v$ under 18*
- *$L_{bL,2}, L_{cL,2} \to N_2$ are the pullback of $\tilde{L}_u, \tilde{L}_v$ under 19*
- *$L_{bR,2}, L_{cR,2} \to N_2$ are the pullback of $\tilde{L}_u, \tilde{L}_v$ under 20*

Then we have

1. $E_{bL}|_{A_0} = E_0$, $E_{cL}|_{A_0} = E_0$
2. $L_{bL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0}$, $L_{cL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0}$, $L_{0R,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0R,0}$
3. $E_{bL}|_{N_L} \cong L_{bL} \oplus L_{0R}$, $E_{cL}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cL} \oplus L_{0R}$

(4) $E_0|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0} \oplus L_{0R,0}$.
(5) $L_{bR,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cR,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{0R,L}$
(6) $L_{bL,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{bL,L}$, $L_{cL,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cL,L}$

Similar statements hold for the spaces A_R, N_R *and the maps* $N_R \to A_R$, $N_R \to N_2$ *and* $N_0 \to N_R$.

Proof.

(1) First we show that $E_{bL}|_{A_0} \cong E_{cL}|_{A_0} \cong E_0$. Consider diagram (12). We will start by defining a homotopy inverse $q : Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r) \to \tilde{F}_0(k,r)$ to the map $\tilde{p}_0 : \tilde{F}_0 \to Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^\infty)$ as follows: choose a map $c : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^r$ representing an element $[c] \in Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r)$. Choose $h \in Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$ such that ch is orthogonal. Then define $q([c]) = [ch, ch]$. This map is well defined and independent of the choice of h. Also $p_0 q = \mathbb{1}$ hence $p_0 = q^{-1}$.

Now observe that the composition

$$\tilde{pr} \circ q : Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r) \to \tilde{F}_1(k,r) = Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r) \times Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^r)$$

is the diagonal map. It follows that, if E is the tautological bundle over $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^\infty)$, then

$$q^* \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{E}_u \cong q^* \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{E}_v \cong E$$

To show that $E_{bL}|_{A_0} \cong E_{cL}|_{A_0} \cong E_0$ it suffices to show that $pr^* \imath_1^* \tilde{E}_u \cong pr^* \imath_1^* \tilde{E}_v \cong p_0^* E$. We have

$$pr^* \imath_1^* \tilde{E}_u = \imath_0^* \widetilde{pr}^* \tilde{E}_u \cong p_0^* q^* \widetilde{pr}^* \tilde{E}_u = p_0^* E$$

and a similar statement is true for $\tilde{E}_v$. This concludes the proof.

(2) We want to show that

$$L_{bL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0} \ , \ L_{cL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0} \ , \ L_{0R,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0R,0}$$

We have the commutative diagram (see proposition 5.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_0 \arrow[d, "\pi^*"] & N_{x_{1L}} \times N_{x_{1R}} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_0"'] \arrow[r, "p_R"] \arrow[d, "\pi^* \times \tau"] & N_{x_{1R}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau"] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \arrow[d, "\tau"] & F_0 \arrow[l, "j_0"'] \arrow[r, "p_0"] \arrow[d] & Gr \arrow[d] \\
N_L & N' \times N_z \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"'] \arrow[r, "p''"] & N_z \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) & F_0 \arrow[l, "j_0"'] \arrow[r, "p_0"] & Gr
\end{tikzcd}$$

from which it follows that $L_{0R,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0R,0}$. We also have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & & & Gr \\
N_0 & N_{x_{1L}} \times N_{x_{1R}} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_0"'] \arrow[r, "p_L"] \arrow[d, "\pi^* \times \tau"] & N_{x_{1L}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau"] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \arrow[d, "\tau"] & F_0 \arrow[l, "j_0"'] \arrow[r, "\imath_0"] \arrow[ur, "p_0"] \arrow[d, "pr"] & \tilde{F}_0 \arrow[u, "\tilde{p}_0"'] \arrow[d, "\tilde{pr}"] \\
N_L & N' \times N_z \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"'] \arrow[r, "p'"] & N' \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) & F_1 \arrow[l, "j_1"'] \arrow[r, "\imath_1"] & \tilde{F}_1
\arrow[from=2-1, to=3-1, "\pi^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

from which it follows, as in step (1) above, that $L_{bL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{cL,L}|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L,0}$.

(3) We want to show that

$$E_{bL}|_{N_L} \cong L_{bL,L} \oplus L_{0R,L} \ , \ E_{cL}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cL,L} \oplus L_{0R,L}$$

Consider the following diagram:

(21)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & & F_1 \arrow[ll, "j_1"', "\simeq"] \arrow[rr, "\imath_1", "\simeq"'] & & \tilde{F}_1 \\
N_L \arrow[u] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"', "\cong"] \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[l, "j_1 \times j_0"', "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "\imath_1 \times \imath_0", "\simeq"'] & \tilde{F}_1 \times \tilde{F}_0 \arrow[u, dashed, "\tilde{w}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_u \cong \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_v \cong \tilde{p}_0^* L$, the proof will be complete if we show there is a map $\tilde{w} : \tilde{F}_1(1, \infty) \times \tilde{F}_0(1, \mathbb{C}^\infty) \to \tilde{F}_1(2, \infty)$ making the diagram homotopy commutative, such that

$$\tilde{w}^* \tilde{E}_u = \tilde{L}_u \oplus \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_u \ , \ \tilde{w}^* \tilde{E}_v = \tilde{L}_v \oplus \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_v \tag{22}$$

We begin by building $\tilde{w}$. Define maps $s_L, s_R : Gr(1, \mathbb{C}^\infty) \to Gr(1, \mathbb{C}^\infty)$ as follows: let $v : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\infty$ and write $v = (v^1, v^2, \ldots)$. Then

$$s_L([v]) \overset{\text{def}}{=} [(v^1, 0, v^2, 0, \ldots)]$$
$$s_R([v]) \overset{\text{def}}{=} [(0, v^1, 0, v^2, \ldots)]$$

We observe that s_L, s_R are homotopic to the identity. It follows that, if we define

$$\tilde{w} : ([b_L, c_L], [b_R, c_R]) \mapsto [s_L(b_L) \oplus s_R(b_R), s_L(c_L) \oplus s_R(c_R)]$$

then

$$(\tilde{w})^* \tilde{E}_u = \tilde{L}_u \oplus \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_u \ , \ (\tilde{w})^* \tilde{E}_v = \tilde{L}_v \oplus \tilde{pr}^* \tilde{L}_v$$

It remain to show diagram 21 is commutative. Let $j_z : F_0(1,\infty) \to N_z$ be defined by $j_z : [b,c] \mapsto [z,0,b,c]$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[dr] & & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[ll, "j_1 \times j_{z_1}"'] \arrow[dl] \\
& \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is homotopy commutative. We are left with the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & & F_1 \arrow[ll, "j_1", "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\imath_1", "\simeq"'] & \tilde{F}_1 \\
N_L \arrow[u] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L", "\cong"'] & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[l, "j_1 \times j_{z_1}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\imath_1 \times \imath_0", "\simeq"'] & \tilde{F}_1 \times \tilde{F}_0 \arrow[u, "\tilde{w}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now define the map $w : F_1(1,\infty) \times F_0(1,\infty) \to F_1(2,\infty)$ by

$$w : ([b_L, c_L], [b_R, c_R]) \mapsto [s_L(b_L) \oplus s_R(b_R), s_L(c_L) \oplus s_R(c_R)]$$

Clearly we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_1(2,\infty) \arrow[r, "\imath_1"] & \tilde{F}_1(2,\infty) \\
F_1(1,\infty) \times F_0(1,\infty) \arrow[u, "w"] \arrow[r, "\imath_1 \times \imath_0"] & \tilde{F}_1(1,\infty) \times \tilde{F}_0(1,\infty) \arrow[u, "\tilde{w}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We are thus left with the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & & F_1 \arrow[ll, "j_1", "\simeq"'] \\
N_L \arrow[u] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L", "\cong"'] & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[l, "j_1 \times j_{z_1}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[u, "w"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next we introduce maps

$$S_L([b,c]) = [s_L(b), s_L(c)]$$
$$S_R([b,c]) = [s_R(b), s_R(c)]$$

These maps are homotopic to the identity hence we only have to show the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & & & F_1 \arrow[lll, "j_1"] \\
N_L \arrow[u] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"] & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[l, "j_1 \times j_{z_1}"] & F_1 \times F_0 \arrow[l, "S_L \times S_R"] \arrow[u, "w"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is homotopy commutative. This is an easy direct verification.

(4) We want to show that $E_0|_{N_0} \cong L_{0L} \oplus L_{0R}$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_0 \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, "i_2"] & A_0 \arrow[d, "i_3"] \\
N_L \arrow[r, "i_4"] & A_L
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $E_0 = i_3^* E_{bL}$ so

$$E_0|_{N_0} = i_1^* E_0 = i_1^* i_3^* E_{bL} = i_2^* i_4^* E_{bL} = L_{0L} \oplus L_{0R}$$

(5) We want to show that $L_{bR}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cR}|_{N_L} \cong L_{0R}$. The result will follow if we show that the following diagram is homotopy commutative:

(23)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_L \arrow[d] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"', "\cong"] \arrow[r] & N_{z_1} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \arrow[d, "\pi^*"] & F_0 \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \tilde{F}_0 \arrow[d, "\tilde{pr}"] \\
N_2 & C \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) & F_1 \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \tilde{F}_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1L}N_2 &= \{(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in N_2 \,|\, c_2\left((\pi_{L*}\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}\right) = 1\} \\
S_1N_L &= \{(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in N_L \,|\, c_2\left((\pi_{L*}\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}\right) = 1\} \\
S_0N' &= \{(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in N' \,|\, c_2\left((\pi_{L*}\mathcal{E})^{\vee\vee}\right) = 0\}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the commutativity of diagram (23) follows from the commutativity of

(24)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_2 & & N_L \arrow[ll, "\pi_R^*"] & & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[ll, "\boxplus_L"'] \arrow[dd] \\
C \arrow[u, tail] \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, "\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}"'] & S_{1L}N_2 \arrow[ul, hook] \arrow[dl, "\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_1N_L \arrow[l, "\pi_R^*"] \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_0N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"'] \arrow[ur, hook] \arrow[dr] & \\
\mathfrak{M}_1(X_{1R}) & & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \arrow[ll, "\pi_R^*"'] & & N_{z_1} \arrow[ll, tail]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We need to check the image of $\boxplus_L : S_0N' \times N_{z_1} \to N_L$ is contained in S_1N_L. Then, analyzing the commutativity of diagram

(24) boils down do analyzing the diagram

(25)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_1N_L \arrow[d, "\pi_{L*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_0N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"'] \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) & N_{z_1} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $m' \in S_0N' \subset S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)$, $m' = [a_1', a_2', 0, b', c']$. Let $m'' \in N_z$. Then a direct computation shows that $(\pi_{L*}(m' \boxplus_L m''))^{\vee\vee} = m''$. This shows that the image of $S_0N' \times N_{z_1}$ under $\boxplus_L$ is contained in S_1N_L and that diagram (25) is commutative.

(6) We want to show that $L_{bL,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{bL,L}$, $L_{cL,2}|_{N_L} \cong L_{cL,L}$. This will follow from the commutativity of the diagram

(26)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_L \arrow[d, "\pi_R^*"] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"', "\cong"] \arrow[r] & N' \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \arrow[d, equal] \\
N_2 & C \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

We showed in proposition 5.9 that the map $H_2(\cdot, 0)$ is the homotopy inverse of the inclusion $C \to N_2$. Let $(m', m'') \in N' \times N_z$. Then, by definition of H_2,

$$\pi_{R*}H_2(\pi_R^*(m' \boxplus_L m''), 0) = H_L(m' \boxplus_L m'', 0) = H_1(m', 0) \boxplus H_z(m'', 0)$$

Hence the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_L \arrow[d, "\pi_R^*"] & N' \times N_{z_1} \arrow[l, "\boxplus_L"', "\cong"] \arrow[r] & N' \arrow[d, "H_1(\cdot,0)"] \\
N_2 \arrow[r, "H_2(\cdot,0)"] & C \arrow[r, "\pi_{R*}^{\vee\vee}"] & S_0\mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. From here it follows easily that diagram (26) is commutative.

□

7. The cohomology of $\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$

The objective of this section is to prove theorem 1.2. We begin by proving it for the special case $q = 2$:

Theorem 7.1. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to K_C \to H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) \to H^*(A_L) \oplus H^*(A_R) \to H^*(A_0) \to 0$$

where $K_C = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\,H^*(C) \to H^*(N_L) \oplus H^*(N_R)\,)$*. This sequence splits and we get*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) \cong K_C \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\,H^*(A_L) \oplus H^*(A_R) \to H^*(A_0)\,)$$

Proof. Recall corolary 5.2. We will use this spectral sequence to compute $H_*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2))$. Clearly the map $d_1 : E_{1,n} \to E_{2,n}$ is surjective hence $E_2^{2,n} = 0$. Also we notice that $E_1^{p,2n+1} = 0$ for any p. It follows that the spectral sequence collapses at the term E_2. We get then

$$H^{2n}(\,\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)\,) = E_\infty^{0,2n} = \mathrm{Ker}\,\left(d_1 : E_1^{0,2n} \to E_1^{1,2n}\right) \tag{27}$$

$$H^{2n+1}(\,\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)\,) = E_\infty^{1,2n} = \frac{\mathrm{Ker}\,\left(d_1 : E_1^{1,2n} \to E_1^{2,2n}\right)}{\mathrm{Im}\,\left(d_1 : E_1^{0,2n} \to E_1^{1,2n}\right)} \tag{28}$$

When performing calculations we will use the following sign conventions:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_L & A_0 \arrow[l, "+"'] \arrow[r, "+"] & A_R \\
N_L \arrow[u, "-"] \arrow[dr, "+"'] & N_0 \arrow[u, "+"] \arrow[l, "+"'] \arrow[r, "+"] & N_R \arrow[u, "-"'] \arrow[dl, "-"] \\
& N_2 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{29}$$

We begin by defining the following generators of the cohomology of $E_1^{0,2n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a^i_{\Delta L} &= c_i(E_{cL}) - c_i(E_{bL}) & a^i_{\Delta R} &= c_i(E_{cR}) - c_i(E_{bR}) \\
a^i_{bL} &= c_i(E_{bL}) & a^i_{bR} &= c_i(E_{bR}) \\
c_{\Delta L} &= c_1(L_{cL}) - c_1(L_{bL}) & c_{\Delta R} &= c_1(L_{cR}) - c_1(L_{bR}) \\
c_{bL} &= c_1(L_{bL}) & c_{bR} &= c_1(L_{bR})
\end{aligned}$$

We do the same for $E_1^{1,2n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{\Delta L} &= c_1(L_{cL}) - c_1(L_{bL}) & n_{\Delta R} &= c_1(L_{cR}) - c_1(L_{bR}) \\
n_{bL} &= c_1(L_{bL}) & n_{bR} &= c_1(L_{bR}) \\
n_{0R} &= c_1(L_{0R}) & n_{0L} &= c_1(L_{0L}) \\
a^i &= c_i(E_0) & &
\end{aligned}$$

and for $E_1^{2,n}$:

$$n_{0R} = c_1(L_{0R}) \qquad\qquad n_{0L} = c_1(L_{0L})$$

Then, from theorem 6.2 it follows that the map $d_1 : E_1^{0,2n} \to E_1^{1,2n}$ may be represented by the following diagram, where the entries correspond to those in diagram (29):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(a^1_{\Delta L}, a^1_{bL}, a^2_{\Delta L}, a^2_{bL}) \arrow[r, mapsto] \arrow[d, mapsto] & (0, a^1, 0, a^2) & (a^1_{\Delta R}, a^1_{bR}, a^2_{\Delta R}, a^2_{bR}) \arrow[l, mapsto] \arrow[d, mapsto] \\
\begin{gathered}(-n_{\Delta L}, -n_{bL} - n_{0R}, -n_{\Delta L} n_{0R}, -n_{bL} n_{0R}) \\ (n_{\Delta L}, n_{bL}, 0, n_{0R})\end{gathered} & & \begin{gathered}(-n_{\Delta R}, -n_{bR} - n_{0L}, -n_{\Delta R} n_{0L}, -n_{bR} n_{0L}) \\ (0, -n_{0L}, -n_{\Delta R}, -n_{bR})\end{gathered} \\
& (c_{\Delta L}, c_{bL}, c_{\Delta R}, c_{bR}) \arrow[ul, mapsto, bend left] \arrow[ur, mapsto, bend right] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Also the map $d_1 : E_1^{1,2n} \to E_1^{2,2n}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(a^1, a^2) &\mapsto (n_{0L} + n_{0R}, n_{0L} n_{0R}) \\
(n_{\Delta L}, n_{bL}, n_{0R}) &\mapsto (0, n_{0L}, n_{0R}) \\
(n_{0L}, n_{\Delta R}, n_{bR}) &\mapsto (n_{0L}, 0, n_{0R})
\end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{AL} &= \operatorname{Ker}(H^*(A_L) \to H^*(A_0)) & K_{AR} &= \operatorname{Ker}(H^*(A_R) \to H^*(A_0)) \\
K_{NL} &= \operatorname{Ker}(H^*(N_L) \to H^*(N_0)) & K_{NR} &= \operatorname{Ker}(H^*(N_R) \to H^*(N_0))
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^*(A_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a^1, a^2] \oplus K_{AL} \ , \ H^*(A_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a^1, a^2] \oplus K_{AR} \\
& H^*(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}[n_L, n_R] \oplus K_{NL} \oplus K_{NR} \oplus K_C \\
& H^*(N_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}[n_L, n_R] \oplus K_{NL} \ , \ H^*(N_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}[n_L, n_R] \oplus K_{NR}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $K_C \subset H^*(C)$ is the ideal generated by $c_{\Delta L} c_{\Delta R}$. The restriction of the map $H^*(A_L) \to H^*(N_L)$ to K_{AL} induces a map $s_L : K_{AL} \to K_{NL}$. Similarly we have a map $s_R : K_{AR} \to K_{NR}$. Let also $s : \mathbb{Z}[a^1, a^2] \to \mathbb{Z}[n_L, n_R]$ be the map induced by the direct sum map $BU(1) \times BU(1) \to BU(2)$. Then the map $d_1 : E_1^{0,2n} \to E_1^{1,2n}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1 \left(a_L + k_{AL}, a_R + k_{AR}, x + k_{NL} + k_{NR} + k_C \right) = \\
& = (-s(a_L) - s_L(k_{AL}) + x + k_{NL}, -s(a_R) - s_R(k_{AR}) - x - k_{NR}, a_L + a_R)
\end{aligned}$$

and the map $d_1 : E_1^{1,2n} \to E_1^{2,2n}$ is given by

$$d_1 \left(x_L + k_{NL}, x_R + k_{NR}, a \right) = (x_L + x_R + s(a))$$

Now we can finish the proof:

(1) We prove first that $H^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) = 0$. We need to show $\operatorname{Ker}(d_1 : E_1^{1,2n} \to E_1^{2,2n}) \subset \operatorname{Im}(d_1 : E_1^{0,2n} \to E_1^{1,2n})$. Let $(x_L + k_{NL}, x_R + k_{NR}, a) \in \operatorname{Ker} d_1$. Then $x_L + x_R + s(a) = 0$. It follows that

$$d_1(a, 0, -x_R + k_L - k_R) = (x_L + k_L, x_R + k_R, a)$$

(2) Now we will show that $H^{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}[a^1, a^2] \oplus K_{AL} \oplus K_{AR} \oplus K_C$ which conpletes the proof. We first define a map $\mathbb{Z}[a^1, a^2] \oplus K_{AL} \oplus K_{AR} \oplus K_C \to E_1^{0,2n}$ by

$$(a, k_{AL}, k_{AR}, k_C) \mapsto (a + k_{AL}, -a + k_{AR}, s(a) + s_L(k_{AL}) - s_R(k_{AR}) + k_C)$$

We want to show this map is injective onto the kernel of d_1. Injectivity is clear and a direct verification shows the image is contained in the kernel of d_1. To show surjectivity let $(a_L + k_{AL}, a_R + k_{AR}, x + k_{NL} + k_{NR} + k_C) \in \operatorname{Ker} d_1$. Then

$$a_L = -a_R,\ k_{NL} = s_L(k_{AL}),\ k_{NR} = -s_R(k_{AR}),\ x = s(a_L) = -s(a_R)$$

The result follows.

□

We are ready to prove the general case:

Theorem 7.2. *With notations as in theorem 2.1 let*

$$K_i = \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^*(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \to H^*(\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)) \right)$$

$$K_{ij} = \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^*(\pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) \to H^*(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \oplus H^*(\pi_j^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \right)$$

Then, as modules over $\mathbb{Z}$, we have an isomorphism

$$H^*\left(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q) \right) \cong H^*\left(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \right) \oplus \bigoplus_i K_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i<j} K_{ij} \tag{30}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps:

(1) We will use theorem 2.1 to build a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of $H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$. Let Δ be the $q-1$ simplex. Label its vertices by v_i, $i = 1, \dots, q$, and the e_{ij} be the middle point of the edge joining v_i and v_j. We define a filtration $\Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ of Δ where $\Delta_0 = \bigcup_{i<j} e_{ij}$ and Δ_1 is the 1-skeleton of Δ. Write $\Delta_1 = \bigcup_i \Delta_{1i}$ where Δ_{1i} is the closure of the connected component of $\Delta_1 \setminus \Delta_0$ containing v_i. Then we define

$$M = \frac{\bigcup_{i,j}\left(e_{ij} \times \pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)\right) \cup \bigcup_i \left(\Delta_{1i} \times \pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)\right) \cup \left(\Delta \times \pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)\right)}{\sim}$$

where $\sim$ is induced by the inclusions $e_{ij} \subset \Delta_{1i} \subset \Delta$ and $\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \subset \pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1) \subset \pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)$. Then, the arguments in [Seg68] can be applied to show that M is homotopically equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$. The filtration of Δ by Δ_0, Δ_1 induces a filtration $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 = M$ of M which leads to a spectral sequence with

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1^{0,n} &= H^n(F_0) \cong \bigoplus_{i<j} \big(H^0(e_{ij}) \otimes H^n(\pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2))\big) \\
E_1^{1,n} &= H^n(F_1, F_0) \cong \bigoplus_{i} \big(H^1(\Delta_{1i}, \partial\Delta_{1i}) \otimes H^n(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1))\big) \\
E_1^{2,n} &= H^n(F_2, F_1) \cong H^1(F_1) \otimes H^n(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0))
\end{aligned}$$

(2) The d_1 differential is induced by the inclusions $\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \to \pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1) \to \pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)$. We will use the sign convention

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& H^*\left(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)\right) \arrow[dr, "+"] & \\
H^*\left(\pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)\right) \arrow[ur, "+"] \arrow[dr, "-"] & & H^*\left(\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)\right) \\
& H^*\left(\pi_j^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)\right) \arrow[ur, "+"] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{31}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i &= \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^*(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \to H^*(\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)) \right) \\
K_{ij} &= \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^*(\pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) \to H^*(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \oplus H^*(\pi_j^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, from theorem 7.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H^*(\pi_i^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_1)) &\cong H^*(\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)) \oplus K_i \\
H^*(\pi_{ij}^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_2)) &\cong H^*(\pi_\emptyset^*\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)) \oplus K_i \oplus K_j \oplus K_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence of maps $E_1^{0,n} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,n} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,n}$ splits into three sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(e_{ij}) \otimes K_{ij} \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \\
\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(e_{ij}) \otimes (K_i \oplus K_j) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_l H^1(\Delta_{1l}, \partial\Delta_{1l}) \otimes K_l \arrow[r] & 0 \\
H^0(\Delta_0) \otimes K^n \arrow[r] & H^1(\Delta_1, \Delta_0) \otimes K^n \arrow[r] & H^1(\Delta_1) \otimes K^n
\end{tikzcd} \tag{32}$$

where K^n stands for $H^n\left(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)\right)$. The bottom maps are easily analyzed using the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\Delta_1) \to H^0(\Delta_0) \to H^1(\Delta_1, \Delta_0) \to H^1(\Delta_1) \to 0$$

It follows that the map $d_1 : E_1^{1,n} \to E_1^{2,n}$ is surjective. Since $E_1^{r,n} = 0$ for $r > 2$ and n even, this implies the spectral sequence collapses and

$$H^n(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(d_1 : E_1^{1,n} \to E_1^{2,n})}{\operatorname{Im}(d_1 : E_1^{0,n} \to E_1^{1,n})}$$
$$H^n(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)) = \operatorname{Ker}(d_1 : E_1^{0,n} \to E_1^{1,n})$$

Lets look more closely at the map

$$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(e_{ij})(K_i \oplus K_j) \to \bigoplus_i H^1\left(\Delta_{1i}, \partial\Delta_{1i}\right) \otimes K_i \tag{33}$$

Observe that

$$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(e_{ij}) \otimes (\, K_i \oplus K_j\,) = \bigoplus_i H^0(\partial\Delta_{1i}) \otimes K_i$$

It follows that the map (33) can be easily analysed using the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\Delta_{1i}) \to H^0(\partial\Delta_{1i}) \to H^1(\Delta_{1i}, \partial\Delta_{1i}) \to 0$$

We gather together our conclusions:

(a) The top sequence in (32) contributes a term
$$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(e_{ij}) \otimes K_{ij}$$
to $H^{2n}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$.

(b) The bottom sequence in (32) does not contribute to $H^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$ since it is exact in the middle.

(c) The bottom sequence in (32) contributes a term
$$H^0(\Delta_1) \otimes H^*\left(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_0)\right)$$
to $H^{2n}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$.

(d) The map (33) is surjective hence it does not contribute to $H^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$.

(e) The map (33) contributes a term
$$\bigoplus_i H^0(\Delta_{1i}) \otimes K_i$$
to $H^{2n}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$.

From (b) and (d) it follows that $H^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)) = 0$ and from (a), (c) and (e) equation (30) follows.

□

References

[AHDM78] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. G. Drinfel′d, and Yu. I. Manin, *Construction of instantons*, Phys. Lett. A **65** (1978), no. 3, 185–187. MR **82g:**81049

[BS97] Jim Bryan and Marc Sanders, *The rank stable topology of instantons of* $\overline{\mathrm{cp}}^2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 12, 3763–3768. MR **98b:**58028

[BS00] ———, *Instantons on* S^4 *and* $\overline{\mathbf{C}\mathrm{P}}^2$*, rank stabilization, and Bott periodicity*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 2, 331–352. MR **2000k:**58011

[Buc86] N. P. Buchdahl, *Instantons on* $\mathbf{c}\mathrm{p}_2$, J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), no. 1, 19–52. MR **88b:**32066

[Buc93] ———, *Instantons on* $n\mathbf{c}\mathrm{p}_2$, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 3, 669–687. MR **94e:**53025

[Buc02] Nicholas P. Buchdahl, *Monads and bundles on rational surfaces*, to appear in Rocky Mountain Journal, 2002.

[DK90] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1990, Oxford Science Publications. MR **92a:**57036

[Don84] S. K. Donaldson, *Instantons and geometric invariant theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), no. 4, 453–460. MR **86m:**32043

[Gas97] Elizabeth Gasparim, *Holomorphic bundles on* $\wr(-k)$ *are algebraic*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 9, 3001–3009. MR **98f:**14037

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 57 #3116

[HL95] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *Stable pairs on curves and surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 1, 67–104. MR **95i:**14015

[Kin89] A. D. King, *Instantons and holomorphic bundles on the blown-up plane*, Ph.D. thesis, Worcester College, Oxford, 1989.

[Kir94] Frances Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory and the Atiyah-Jones conjecture*, The Sophus Lie Memorial Conference (Oslo, 1992), Scand. Univ. Press, Oslo, 1994, pp. 161–186. MR **98e:**58036

[KM99] Herbert Kurke and Andreas Matuschke, *On the structure of moduli spaces of framed vector bundles on rational and ruled surfaces*, Algebraic geometry: Hirzebruch 70 (Warsaw, 1998), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 239–271. MR **2000i:**14062

[Leh93] Manfred Lehn, *Modulräume gerahmter Vektorbündel*, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1993, Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, 1992. MR **95g:**14013

[Lüb93] Martin Lübke, *The analytic moduli space of framed vector bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **441** (1993), 45–59. MR **94k:**32030

[Mat00] Andreas Matuschke, *On framed instanton bundles and their deformations*, Math. Nachr. **211** (2000), 109–126. MR 1 743 485

[San95] Marc Sanders, *Classifying spaces and Dirac operators coupled to instantons*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 10, 4037–4072. MR **96m:**58030

[San02a] João P. Santos, *Blowups of surfaces and moduli of holomorphic vector bundles*, arXiv:math.AG/0212176, 2002.

[San02b] ———, *Topology of moduli spaces of rank stable instantons and holomorphic bundles*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 2002.

[Seg68] Graeme Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 34, 105–112. MR 38 #718

[tH74] Gerard 't Hooft, *A planar diagram theory for strong interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B (1974), no. 72, 461.